The 2026 Generative AI Economics Report: Benchmarking Multi-Model Platforms, Direct APIs, and Cost Efficiency

By Bahae Eddine HALIM

🚀 **2026 is officially the year of the AI agent and next-level content creation!**

But let's be real... the pricing pages for AI video and image platforms are an absolute maze of "credits," "fast passes," and hidden limits. To cut through the marketing jargon, I just put together a comprehensive benchmarking guide comparing platforms like Freepik, InVideo, and Poe against Direct-to-Developer (D2D) APIs.

Here are a few wild realities of the 2026 generative AI market:

🎬 **The "5-Minute Video" is a Myth:** No single model generates a long video in one go; you are capped at short bursts of a few seconds and have to stitch them together yourself.

💸 **API Arbitrage is Real:** While aggregators charge a premium, accessing models directly via API is crashing prices. For example, ByteDance's Seedance 1.5 Pro runs as low as $0.0247 per second , and

Alibaba's Wan 2.6 offers top-tier generation at a fraction of the cost.

🌍 **The Payment Gateway Hurdle:** For creators and developers in Morocco, accessing these tools is still a challenge because major gateways like Stripe aren't officially available for local companies without foreign subsidiaries, and PayPal still has local withdrawal limits.

⚠️ **Full Transparency / Disclaimer:** I generated this guide quickly with AI. Because of that, I haven't manually verified every single data point, and there might be some hallucinations or slightly outdated pricing in the mix! I want to hear your POV! Do you have any remarks, critiques, or corrections? What AI platforms are you actually paying for and using right now?

PLAN

The Paradigm Shift in Generative Compute Pricing

The generative artificial intelligence market in 2026 has transitioned from an era of experimental novelty into a highly commoditized, production-ready ecosystem. Foundational models such as OpenAI's Sora 2, Google's Veo 3.1, Runway's Gen-4.5, and Kuaishou's Kling 3.0 now consistently render 4K resolution, 60 frames-per-second video with native, synchronized multilingual audio and hyper-realistic physics.[1] However, the commercial frameworks and cost structures underpinning these technologies remain highly opaque and deeply fragmented. Consumers, independent creators, and enterprise users currently face a labyrinth of disparate pricing strategies: convoluted token economies, non-transferable credit systems, gamified "stamina" pools, dynamically priced application programming interfaces (APIs), and opaque tier-based subscription models.[4]

The core friction in the generative market arises from the sheer computational density and graphics processing unit (GPU) overhead required for rendering coherent video generation. Creating a continuous, unbroken five-minute (300-second) video sequence is currently impossible via a single text prompt across any commercial or open-source model.[1] The maximum generation limit per output strictly ranges between 1 and 20 seconds, depending heavily on the architectural parameters of the model selected.[3] Consequently, long-form production requires generating anywhere between 50 and 100 individual short clips and manually stitching them together, alongside post-production audio syncing and color grading [User Query].

This fundamental architectural limitation forces platforms to bill users not for the final delivered product, but for the raw compute required to execute the underlying diffusion and transformer models. This exhaustive report deconstructs the pricing strategies of the leading multi-model aggregators, benchmarks them against ten premium market alternatives, analyzes the direct-to-developer (D2D) API costs of the foundational models themselves, and addresses the geopolitical payment frictions impacting adoption in emerging technology hubs such as Morocco.

Deconstructing Platform Tokenomics: The Freepik Baseline

Platform aggregators—services that license foundational models from enterprise creators and package them into a single, user-friendly graphical interface—rely almost exclusively on proprietary digital currencies, typically branded as "credits," "compute points," or "tokens".[4] This economic obfuscation serves two primary corporate purposes: it mathematically masks the actual per-second cost of cloud compute from the consumer, and it allows platforms to dynamically adjust the internal cost of calling specific models without requiring them to alter the overarching monthly fiat subscription fee.

The Upfront Allocation Model

Freepik's 2026 artificial intelligence integration serves as an ideal baseline to understand the modern aggregator pricing structure. Rather than issuing a standard monthly allowance that resets every thirty days, Freepik allocates an entire year's worth of credits upfront upon the purchase of an annual plan, and these credits do not reset or top-up monthly [User Query]. The tiers are structured aggressively to capture different market segments:

Freepik Subscription Tier	Total Annual Credits	Approx. Image Yield	Approx. 5-Second Video Yield
Essential	96,000	~1,280 images	~87 videos
Premium	240,000	~3,200 images	~218 videos
Premium+	600,000	~8,000 images	~545 videos
Pro	4,000,000	~53,333 images	~3,636 videos

The internal cost of generation on Freepik scales precisely with the cloud compute demands of the chosen foundational model. Static image generation is exponentially cheaper than temporal video rendering. A standard 1K or 2K resolution image generated via Google Nano Banana 2, ByteDance Seedream, Recraft, or Black Forest Labs' Flux.2 costs a nominal fee of between 50 and 150 credits per generation.[7] High-resolution 4K images default to 150 credits, while premium upscaling tools such as Magnific Precision demand 90 credits to enhance a 2K asset [User Query].

Conversely, video generation incurs a massive premium that drains credit pools rapidly. The platform enforces strict burst limitations and varied pricing based on the model's complexity:

- **6 seconds:** MiniMax Hailuo 2.3 Fast requires 150 credits.
- **5 seconds:** Runway Gen-4.5 costs 1,100 credits, Kling O1 requires 750 credits, Kling 2.5 uses 140 credits, and Wan 2.2 utilizes 80 credits.
- **4 seconds:** Google Veo 3.1 4K demands 2,080 credits, Sora 2 Pro 1024p demands an exorbitant 3,000 credits, and Seedance 1.5 Pro requires 880 credits.
- **3 seconds:** Kling 3.0 Motion Control varies between 375 and 450 credits, while Veed Fabric 1.0 utilizes 1,050 credits.

- **1 second:** Grok demands 80 credits. [User Query]

A creative professional operating on the standard Premium plan (240,000 credits) could easily generate an expansive library of 3,200 static images [User Query]. However, if that same user attempted to generate cinematic video exclusively using Runway Gen-4.5 (1,100 credits per 5-second clip), their entire annual allowance would yield a mere 218 clips [User Query]. If the user attempted to assemble a 5-minute (300-second) short film using Runway Gen-4.5 on Freepik, they would require 60 successful generations. At 1,100 credits each, this single project would consume 66,000 credits—over a quarter of their entire annual allowance on a single, short-form creative endeavor.

The Illusion of "Unlimited" Generation

To entice high-volume digital agencies and power users, Freepik and similar platforms aggressively market "Unlimited" tiers (such as the Premium+ and Pro plans), which introduce the complex dynamics of "Fast Passes" and "Relax Mode" [User Query].

The term "unlimited" in the 2026 generative AI market rarely equates to infinite, instantaneous, on-demand compute. Cloud server clusters simply cannot support unbound algorithmic inference. Platforms manage this server load through strict, multi-tiered queuing systems. When a user purchases an "unlimited" plan, they receive a finite monthly allocation of "Fast Passes" or high-priority queue tokens [User Query]. These passes instruct the server to execute the user's prompt immediately, returning the video or image in standard rendering time.

Once a user exhausts their Fast Pass allocation for the 30-day period, they are not charged further credits for subsequent generations on specific supported models (such as Wan 2.2, Kling 2.5, Nano Banana 2, or Flux.2 Max), but they are forcibly relegated to "Relax Mode" [User Query]. In Relax Mode, users can technically generate infinite assets, but their API calls are placed at the absolute back of the global server queue, behind all active Fast Pass users.[8] During peak traffic hours, a standard 5-second video that typically takes two minutes to render on a Fast Pass might take several hours to process in Relax Mode. Furthermore, concurrent generation limits strictly throttle throughput; users are hard-capped at rendering 4 assets simultaneously on Essential/Premium, 8 on Premium+, and 12 on Pro [User Query]. Therefore, "unlimited" in this context simply guarantees that the platform will not terminate access, but the actual velocity of output is intentionally and severely throttled by enterprise hardware constraints.

Comprehensive Benchmarking: 10 Alternative AI Aggregators

To determine the most cost-effective alternatives to Freepik for comprehensive, multi-model image and video generation, the broader 2026 market must be analyzed. The landscape can be segmented into ten distinct platforms, categorized by their overarching structural approach: All-in-One Ecosystems, High-Velocity Sprint Workflows, Integrated Asset Suites, and Direct API Proxies.

1. InVideo AI: The Disruptive Value Champion

InVideo AI has aggressively positioned itself as one of the most dominant multi-model aggregators in 2026, pivoting from a standard template editor into a heavily funded generative powerhouse.[10] The platform secured direct integration partnerships with both OpenAI to host Sora 2 and Google DeepMind to host Veo 3.1, offering these premium models alongside Kling 3.0 and Wan 2.6.[11]

- **Pricing Architecture:** InVideo utilizes a simplified monthly subscription structure. The "Plus" plan operates at $25 per month (or $300 billed annually), yielding 100 generative credits. The highly popular "Max" plan costs $60 per month ($720 annually) and delivers 400 credits. The enterprise-grade "Generative" tier is priced at $200 per month for 1,000 credits, offering up to 4K export capabilities and expansive concurrency.[10]
- **Market Positioning and Cost Efficiency:** Accessing OpenAI's Sora 2 directly via ChatGPT Pro requires a $200 monthly subscription, while standalone access to Veo 3.1 Ultra demands nearly $250 per month.[11] InVideo democratizes this access by bundling both models starting at just $25.[11] Furthermore, InVideo mitigates image generation costs by offering an "Unlimited mode" for high-end image models (such as Nano Banana Pro and Nano Banana 2) through the end of 2026 across its upper tiers.[10] For a user seeking the lowest-cost entry point into authentic Sora 2 and Veo 3.1 video workflows, InVideo mathematically outperforms Freepik's credit-draining metrics.

2. Artlist Max: The Integrated Asset Ecosystem

Artlist Max targets a distinct demographic: professional video editors and advertising agencies that require generative AI to be bundled alongside traditional, legally cleared creative assets.[15]

- **Pricing Architecture:** Artlist segments its offerings. A pure "AI Suite" standalone plan starts at $11.99 per month (billed annually) focusing heavily on voiceovers, but the true aggregator tier is the "Artlist Max" plan at $39.99 per month (billed annually).[17] This comprehensive plan allocates 7,500 AI credits per month.[18] Dedicated "AI Professional" tiers scale drastically, charging $149.99 per month for 180,000 credits, going as high as nearly $800 per month for 1 million credits.[17]
- **Market Positioning and Cost Efficiency:** The 7,500 credits provided on the standard Artlist Max plan yield approximately 25 HD AI videos, 250 AI images, or 1.5 hours of AI

voiceover per month.[15] If analyzed purely on a cost-per-generative-compute basis, Artlist is highly expensive compared to InVideo or Freepik. However, the $39.99 monthly fee also includes unlimited downloads of royalty-free stock music, stems, sound effects, 8K stock footage, LUTs, and Premiere Pro plugins.[18] For users who must inevitably license background music for their AI-generated videos, Artlist provides superior holistic value by eliminating the need for tertiary subscriptions.

3. OpenArt AI: The High-Velocity Sprint Workflow Lab

OpenArt AI originally launched as a simple Stable Diffusion wrapper but has evolved by 2026 into a sophisticated "Sprint Workflow" laboratory, hosting an aggregation of over 100 specialized models, including Veo 3, Kling 3.0, Runway, and Seedance.[20]

- **Pricing Architecture:** OpenArt heavily penalizes month-to-month billing, offering a massive 50% discount for annual commitments.[23] The "Essential" plan begins at $7/month (annual) for 4,000 credits. The "Advanced" tier sits at $14.50/month for 12,000 credits. The highly recommended "Infinite" tier is $28/month for 24,000 credits, while the "Wonder" plan targets agencies at $120/month for 106,000 credits.[23]
- **Market Positioning and Cost Efficiency:** The $28/month Infinite plan is widely considered the sweet spot for serious users.[23] OpenArt's primary technological advantage is its parallel generation engine, allowing users on the Infinite tier to output up to 32 variations simultaneously.[21] With standard Nano Banana images costing 15 credits, a user can generate roughly 1,600 high-tier images monthly. While video generation consumes credits rapidly, the sheer velocity of image ideation, combined with native tools for character consistency, inpainting, and 4K upscaling, makes OpenArt a structurally superior choice to Freepik for users requiring rapid, high-volume asset iteration without waiting in artificial Relax Mode queues.[20]

4. Poe.com: The Omnichannel API Proxy

Originally conceptualized by Quora as a simple chatbot aggregator, Poe.com has stealthily transformed into a formidable, low-cost backdoor for accessing premier APIs across text, image, audio, and video modalities.[27]

- **Pricing Architecture:** Poe operates on a flat subscription model, charging $19.99 per month (or $199.99 annually).[28] This grants the user a monthly allocation of 1 million "compute points".[28] In 2025, Poe also introduced a secondary entry-level tier at $5 per month to capture lower-budget demographics.[28]
- **Market Positioning and Cost Efficiency:** Poe's economic model relies heavily on subsidizing the true cost of API calls through high overall user volume. Through standard interfaces and user-created "Poe Apps," subscribers gain access to top-tier models including Veo 3.1, Sora 2, Kling 2.1, and Wan 2.6 at drastically reduced point costs.[29] Empirical testing demonstrates that generating an 8-second Veo 3.1 video (complete with native sound and two reference images) consumes roughly 20,000 points.[29] Mathematically, a user's 1 million monthly points could yield approximately 50 premium,

cinematic Veo 3.1 videos for just $20. For pure, isolated video generation without the need for complex timeline editors, Poe.com decisively undercuts both Freepik and dedicated video platforms, offering unmatched raw compute for the dollar.[31]

5. Higgsfield AI: The Social Media Orchestrator

Higgsfield AI targets social media managers, UGC (User Generated Content) creators, and digital marketers. It differentiates itself from generic prompt-box generators by emphasizing predictable camera behavior, multi-shot continuity, and precise dolly movements.[33]

- **Pricing Architecture:** Higgsfield employs a standard monthly and annual SaaS model. Plans begin at $9/month (Basic) granting 150 credits, scaling to $17.40/month on annual billing (Pro) for 600 credits. The $39/month Ultimate tier provides 1,200 credits, while the $199/month Creator tier provides 6,000 credits.[35]
- **Market Positioning and Cost Efficiency:** Higgsfield integrates highly capable models like Kling 3.0, Sora 2, and Seedream 5.0.[37] While the platform excels at providing ad-ready templates, the credit economy is inherently punitive.[33] A Kling 3.0 generation costs 6 credits.[38] Because AI video fundamentally relies on a trial-and-error "slot machine" workflow—where a user must generate five clips to find one physically accurate output—a 600-credit Pro plan can be completely exhausted during a single complex campaign build.[37] Furthermore, market sentiment indicates high friction regarding the platform's claims of "unlimited" usage, which often results in severe throttling or forced model downgrades after a short introductory window.[34]

6. Leonardo AI: The PBR and Texture Specialist

Leonardo AI began its lifecycle as a refined user interface for open-source Stable Diffusion models but has aggressively pivoted into a proprietary creative suite, excelling in 3D PBR (Physically Based Rendering) texture generation, game asset creation, and highly stylized concept art.[21]

- **Pricing Architecture:** Leonardo maintains a token-based economy. The "Apprentice" tier costs $10/month (annual billing) for 8,500 fast tokens. The widely adopted "Artisan" plan costs $24/month for 25,000 tokens, and the "Maestro" plan scales to $48/month for 60,000 tokens.[41] A free tier grants 150 tokens daily, providing an excellent testing ground.[43]
- **Market Positioning and Cost Efficiency:** Leonardo incorporates its proprietary "Phoenix" model for high-fidelity photorealism and maintains exceptional non-destructive editing via its AI Canvas.[21] The token economy is highly efficient for image generation, but brutally expensive for video. Generating a single 8-second video utilizing the integrated Veo 3 model consumes an immense 2,500 tokens.[44] This means a $24 Artisan plan would yield only 10 high-end video clips before reverting to relaxed mode.[44] For digital painters and texture artists, Leonardo is indispensable; for dedicated video producers, it is an economically inviable path compared to InVideo or Poe.

7. Krea AI: The Real-Time Ideation Canvas

Krea AI abandons the traditional asynchronous "prompt and wait" workflow entirely, utilizing an architecture optimized for instantaneous, real-time generation where visuals morph on-screen exactly as the user types or alters a digital sketch.[45]

- **Pricing Architecture:** Krea offers a free tier (100 compute units daily), an Individual Basic plan at $9/month (5,000 compute units), an Individual Pro plan at $35/month (20,000 units), and a Max plan at $105/month (60,000 units).[46]
- **Market Positioning and Cost Efficiency:** Krea is arguably the most valuable tool on the market for rapid ideation, storyboarding, and pre-visualization.[45] Its proprietary AI Enhancer can upscale assets to an unprecedented 22K resolution, making it critical for print and high-end commercial campaigns.[45] Like OpenArt, Krea functions as a dispatch hub, allowing users to send their real-time canvas creations to external video models (Hailuo, Pika, Runway, Luma).[45] However, compute units drain exponentially when initiating high-fidelity video renders outside of the native real-time environment. It is a necessary tool for art directors, but requires careful budget management.

8. Luma Dream Machine: The Depth and Physics Specialist

Luma AI's Dream Machine, driven by its proprietary Ray 3 model, does not aggregate external models but competes directly against them by focusing intensely on photorealistic motion, high-fidelity 4K HDR outputs, and superior physical geometry simulation.[48]

- **Pricing Architecture:** Luma limits free users to 500 monthly credits (watermarked). The "Lite" plan costs $9.99/month for 3,200 credits. The "Plus" plan, which introduces commercial rights, costs $29.99/month for 10,000 credits. The "Unlimited" tier demands $94.99/month, providing 10,000 fast credits and unlimited relaxed generation.[8]
- **Market Positioning and Cost Efficiency:** Luma is highly specialized. It excels at complex camera choreography—such as deep orbit shots and cinematic flythroughs—that models like Runway occasionally struggle with.[51] However, the cost per clip is relatively high. On the $30 Standard/Plus tier, a generation equates to roughly $0.20 to $0.25.[52] Because it is a closed ecosystem solely utilizing Ray 3, users do not benefit from the model diversity found in Freepik or InVideo. It is best utilized as a surgical tool for specific complex shots rather than a bulk content engine.[40]

9. SeaArt AI: The Budget Open-Source Interface

SeaArt AI caters specifically to the open-source community, acting as a highly accessible cloud interface for running dense, complex models like Stable Diffusion and the FLUX family without requiring expensive local hardware.[6]

- **Pricing Architecture:** SeaArt utilizes a gamified "stamina" system that resets daily. The "Beginner" plan costs a highly aggressive $4.79/month for 300 stamina daily. The "Standard" tier is $15.00/month for 1,800 stamina daily. The "Professional" tier is $30.00/month for 4,000 stamina daily.[54]

- **Market Positioning and Cost Efficiency:** For pure, high-volume image generation leveraging community-trained LoRAs (Low-Rank Adaptations) and open-source models, SeaArt is virtually unbeatable on raw price.[55] A user can generate hundreds of highly specific, localized assets daily for under $5 a month. However, the platform suffers from strict, often unpredictable censorship algorithms and moderation tracking.[56] While it does offer video generation, it lacks the advanced, scene-aware pipeline integration found in higher-end commercial platforms.[54]

10. Vizard AI and GlobalGPT: The Multi-Model Arbitrage Wildcards

Emerging platforms like Vizard AI and GlobalGPT explicitly position themselves as no-frills API wrappers, designed specifically to undercut the compute point systems of larger aggregators.

- **Pricing Architecture:** Vizard AI's Creator plan begins at $14.50/month.[57] GlobalGPT offers base access starting at $5.80, with a comprehensive "Pro" tier at $10.80 that encompasses video generation via Sora 2, Veo 3.1, Kling, and Wan, alongside Nano Banana Pro and Flux for images.[27]
- **Market Positioning and Cost Efficiency:** These platforms provide the lowest absolute monetary barrier to entry for accessing frontier models.[27] Vizard AI excels in repurposing workflows (auto-highlighting clips, native captions, generating B-roll via integrated models).[58] GlobalGPT acts as a direct substitute for Poe, promising freedom from the anxiety of depleting compute points mid-task.[27] These are ideal for budget-conscious users who require the power of Sora or Veo but cannot justify the $25-$30 entry points of larger suites.

Aggregator Platform	Primary Market Focus	Optimal Entry Price (Annualized/Mo)	Premium Video Models Integrated
InVideo AI	Multi-model video, narrative generation	$25.00	Sora 2, Veo 3.1, Kling 3.0
Poe.com	Cheap cross-modality API access	$19.99	Sora 2, Veo 3.1, Runway, Wan
OpenArt AI	High-velocity parallel image sprints	$14.50	Veo 3, Kling, Seedance, Wan
Freepik	High-volume image + traditional stock	~$12.00	Runway Gen-4.5, Sora 2 Pro, Kling
Artlist Max	Comprehensive creative suite (Music + AI)	$39.99	Sora 2, Veo 3.1, Kling, Flux
Krea AI	Real-time rendering, 22K upscaling	$35.00	Runway, Pika, Hailuo, Luma
Luma Dream Machine	Photorealistic physics, distinct camera paths	$29.99	Ray 3 (Proprietary exclusively)
Leonardo AI	3D Textures, game assets, PBR	$24.00	Phoenix (Proprietary), Veo 3

Higgsfield	Social media templates, continuous multi-shot	$17.40	Kling 3.0, Seedream 5.0
SeaArt AI	Ultra-budget open-source image generation	$15.00	Open-source (SD, Flux), basic video

Direct-to-Developer (D2D) API Economics

For enterprise users, software developers, and highly technical independent creators frustrated by opaque credit conversions, monthly limits, and forced watermarks, bypassing aggregator platforms entirely is the most logical step. Interfacing directly with the model creators via Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) shifts the economic model from subscription-based SaaS to purely usage-based cloud compute.

The D2D API market has experienced severe, rapid price compression throughout 2025 and 2026. This deflationary trend is driven predominantly by emerging Chinese algorithmic models (such as Kling, Wan, and Seedance), which have achieved visual and physical parity with Western frontier models at a fraction of the computational overhead.[2]

Video Generation API Benchmarks

The per-second cost of video generation APIs varies drastically, dictated by the underlying neural architecture. Dense transformer models require massive, sustained GPU clusters to process every frame holistically, resulting in high costs. Conversely, models utilizing localized Mixture-of-Experts (MoE) architectures are significantly cheaper to run, as they activate only specific neural pathways necessary for a given prompt.[59] Furthermore, generating a video *with* native, synchronized audio effectively doubles the cloud compute load and, subsequently, the final API cost.[60]

- **Seedance 1.5 Pro (ByteDance):** Operating as the undisputed cost-leader in the 2026 market, ByteDance's Seedance 1.5 Pro is the cheapest live-listed model available, starting at an incredibly low **$0.0247 per second**.[61]
- **Wan 2.6 (Alibaba):** Utilizing an advanced MoE architecture, Wan provides exceptional motion handling at highly efficient rates. Pricing ranges from **$0.05 to $0.0708 per second** depending on whether the call is text-to-video or image-to-video.[61] On optimized cloud networks like SiliconFlow, Wan 2.1 Turbo can generate full 720p videos for a flat rate of just $0.21.[59]
- **Kling 3.0 (Kuaishou):** Known for its superior physics simulation and built-in multilingual audio support, Kling operates at the mid-tier. Standard generation costs **$0.075 per second**, while the advanced Pro tiers with integrated audio scale up to between $0.1134 and $0.168 per second.[2]
- **Runway Gen-4.5 (RunwayML):** Positioned strictly as premium, film-grade software, Runway charges developers directly via a credit system that converts to approximately **$0.15 per second** of generated footage.[63]
- **Google Veo 3.1 (DeepMind):** Google generally bills per video rather than per second. When extrapolated, the cost averages between **$0.1681 to $0.20 per second**. A fast 10-second 1080p generation costs roughly $1.50.[61]
- **Sora 2 Pro (OpenAI):** Establishing the absolute pricing ceiling in the D2D market, OpenAI's flagship model demands **$0.30 per second** for baseline 720p outputs, scaling

to **$0.6389 per 10 seconds** for standard Pro generation, and climbing exponentially higher for 4K renders.[61] This pricing reflects the immense inferential overhead of its architecture, making it highly prohibitive for independent creators relying solely on API calls.

Image Generation API Benchmarks

While video compute remains relatively expensive, static image generation compute costs have plummeted to fractions of a cent. Black Forest Labs' **Flux.1** architecture entirely dominates the current API space due to its superior prompt adherence and lack of artifacting.[67]

- **Flux.1 Dev / Schnell:** The optimized developer models cost between **$0.025 and $0.03 per image** via cloud providers like Replicate or Fal.ai.[62]
- **Flux.1 Pro:** The premium, high-fidelity model costs **$0.04 to $0.055 per image**.[62]
- **DeepSeek / Qwen:** These models are available via API for nearly zero marginal cost, operating at a ratio of thousands of images per single dollar.[69]

The True Cost of Production: Aggregator vs. Direct API

To truly understand the economic disparity between platforms, one must apply a standard benchmark: the cost of generating a **5-minute (300-second) video short**.

- **The Aggregator Route (Freepik):** A 5-minute video requires generating roughly 60 separate 5-second clips using a high-end model like Runway Gen-4.5. On Freepik, one clip costs 1,100 credits, meaning the project consumes 66,000 credits. On the Freepik Premium plan (which offers 240,000 credits for ~$144 annually), the proportional fiat cost of those 66,000 credits is approximately **$39.60**.
- **The Premium API Route (Runway D2D):** Calling the Runway Gen-4.5 API directly at $0.15 per second results in a total compute cost of **$45.00** for 300 seconds.[63]
- **The Budget API Route (Seedance D2D):** Calling the Seedance 1.5 Pro API directly at $0.0247 per second results in a total compute cost of just **$7.41** for 300 seconds.[61]

This mathematical breakdown reveals the inherent arbitrage in the 2026 market. Aggregators like Freepik bulk-purchase premium API access (like Runway) at massive enterprise discounts and pass minor subsidies to the user, wrapping the complex backend code in a user-friendly graphical interface. However, for a developer or a highly technical creator willing to write Python scripts, routing directly through budget infrastructure like SiliconFlow to access MoE models (Wan 2.6 or Seedance) yields the same volume of footage for an 80% cost reduction.

The "Slot Machine" Effect: Quality, Attrition, and Hidden Workflows

When calculating costs, relying purely on the listed API price or the platform credit cost creates a false narrative. Generative video fundamentally operates on a probabilistic mechanism. It is not equivalent to rendering a 3D model in traditional software, where the output matches the input perfectly every time. AI video generation is a highly iterative, trial-and-error process often referred to within the industry as the "slot machine" effect.

Because models frequently hallucinate—producing extra limbs, violating physics, or losing character consistency mid-shot—a user rarely secures a usable clip on the first attempt. A realistic, professional workflow assumes an attrition rate of at least 3:1. A creator will likely need to generate three distinct variations of a 5-second prompt to secure one physically accurate, usable asset.

Therefore, a model priced at $0.05 per second actually carries a "Net Usable Cost" of $0.15 per second. This attrition is precisely why restrictive credit environments (like Freepik's strict 1,100 credit penalty for video, or Higgsfield's 600 monthly credit limit) fail under the stress of professional production. A creator attempting to build a 300-second video does not just generate 60 clips; they generate 180 clips, discarding 120 of them. In this reality, the "Unlimited" relaxed modes of Luma Dream Machine, or the massive 1 million point buffer provided by Poe.com, become absolutely critical to completing a project without experiencing severe budget overruns.

Geopolitical and Payment Infrastructure Friction: The Moroccan Context

Benchmarking the "cheapest" global AI tools is an incomplete exercise if it ignores regional macroeconomic realities. A generative AI platform is only cost-effective if a user can successfully transmit fiat currency to the provider without incurring exorbitant foreign exchange fees, triggering fraud algorithms, or facing outright geo-blocking.

In 2026, the Kingdom of Morocco represents a rapidly accelerating technological hub. The government is actively executing its comprehensive "Digital Morocco 2030" strategy, which explicitly positions artificial intelligence as a cornerstone of industrial and economic transformation.[70] This strategy involves the deployment of the Al-Jazari Institutes for specialized AI research and the impending early-2026 rollout of mandatory electronic invoicing (e-invoicing) for B2B transactions to modernize the tax infrastructure.[71] Despite this aggressive domestic push for digital sovereignty, local Moroccan creators and developers face significant, structural hurdles when attempting to seamlessly subscribe to foreign AI platforms.

The Payment Gateway Disconnect

The primary friction point lies in international payment routing.

1. **Stripe and the Acquiring Gap:** Stripe serves as the dominant, default payment

processor for nearly all major Western AI platforms (including OpenAI, Runway, Luma, and Midjourney). However, Stripe is not officially available for Moroccan corporate entities to use as a receiving gateway without registering a foreign subsidiary.[74] More importantly for the consumer, when Moroccan residents attempt to pay for SaaS subscriptions via Stripe using local dirham-denominated debit or credit cards, the transactions are frequently flagged by risk-averse fraud algorithms or blocked entirely due to national foreign exchange limitations.[74]

2. **The CMI Monopoly and PayPal Limitations:** The Interbank Monetic Center (CMI) dominates the processing of local Moroccan e-commerce, offering high reliability for domestic purchases.[74] However, foreign AI aggregators rarely, if ever, integrate CMI gateways into their billing portals. While PayPal is generally available for sending outward payments, it maintains strict, complex limitations on withdrawing funds back to Moroccan bank accounts.[74]
3. **Capital Flight Laws and Crypto Workarounds:** Direct D2D API providers (such as Fal.ai or Together.ai) occasionally offer cryptocurrency as a payment rail to bypass traditional banking networks. However, Moroccan laws strictly regulate capital flight. Digital assets operate entirely outside the country's formal financial framework. Acquiring foreign assets without explicit authorization from the Moroccan Foreign Exchange Office is considered a breach of law and is heavily penalized.[76] Although grassroots crypto adoption continues to rise (approaching 16% of the population due to remittance utility), relying on decentralized networks to continuously fund enterprise AI pipelines carries inherent, severe legal and compliance risks for legitimate businesses.[77]

Strategic Solutions for the MENA Creator

For developers and creative agencies operating in Morocco (or similar MENA jurisdictions) seeking access to the cheapest AI generation platforms without triggering payment failures, specific operational workarounds are required.

- **Virtual Cards and Cross-Border E-Wallets:** Utilizing globally accepted virtual cards (such as those provided by Payoneer or specialized cross-border fintech applications) allows for seamless subscription payments to international platforms like Poe.com or InVideo AI, bypassing local acquiring friction.[74]
- **The Advantage of B2C Aggregators over B2B APIs:** High-volume B2C aggregators (such as Freepik, Canva, and InVideo) have invested far more heavily in robust, localized global merchant payment routing than specialized B2B API providers (like SiliconFlow). An independent creator operating in Casablanca is significantly more likely to successfully process a standard $25/month InVideo subscription via traditional Visa/Mastercard networks than to successfully clear an open-ended, usage-based, variable billing threshold on a highly technical developer platform.

Platform / Payment Type	Accessibility Profile in Morocco	Structural Reality & Best Practice
Freepik / Canva	High	Standard Visa/Mastercard processing is highly reliable

		due to localized global routing.
InVideo AI / Poe.com	Medium-High	May occasionally require PayPal linkage or a foreign-enabled digital debit card to bypass blocks.
Direct APIs (Runway/Sora)	Medium	Usage-based variable billing often triggers stringent anti-fraud bank holds on local cards.
SiliconFlow / Asian APIs	Low	Corporate onboarding often requires complex, heavily scrutinized international B2B wire transfers.

Strategic Synthesis and Market Conclusions

The generative AI ecosystem of 2026 has definitively fractured into specialized, highly distinct operational tiers. The pursuit of the "cheapest" platform that provides the "same thing" is a flawed premise; cost-efficiency is entirely dependent upon a user's technical proficiency, medium requirements (static image vs. temporal video), and tolerance for workflow friction. Based on exhaustive market benchmarking, the definitive low-cost solutions are as follows:

1. **The Uncontested Value Champion for Consumers: InVideo AI** For the vast majority of users whose primary objective is generating cinematic, high-fidelity video combining OpenAI's Sora 2, Google's Veo 3.1, and Kuaishou's Kling 3.0 within a single, cohesive workspace, **InVideo AI** is the undisputed market leader.[11] By offering access to these premium models starting at just $25 per month, the platform absorbs the exorbitant standalone subscription costs (which exceed $450/month combined), while simultaneously offering an unlimited image generation mode.[10] It mathematically obliterates Freepik's restrictive video credit limits.
2. **The Supreme Arbitrage Engine for Tinkerers: Poe.com** For users who do not require a structured video editing timeline and are comfortable operating within a minimalist, chat-based interface, **Poe.com** provides unparalleled market arbitrage.[27] The $19.99/month subscription massively subsidizes the true cost of high-end APIs. The ability to generate a Veo 3.1 video via a custom application for a fraction of the direct API price effectively allows users to stretch a $20 investment further on Poe than on any other platform in existence.[29]
3. **The Enterprise D2D API Winner: Wan 2.6 and Seedance 1.5** For software developers circumventing SaaS subscriptions entirely to plug directly into code, the Western dominance of dense transformer models has been thoroughly undercut. **Wan 2.6** and **Seedance 1.5 Pro** offer 4K, highly coherent motion generation for a staggering $0.02 to $0.05 per second.[59] Routing API calls through platforms like SiliconFlow to access these MoE models reduces raw production costs by over 80% compared to utilizing the Runway Gen-4.5 API.[2]
4. **The Verdict on Freepik** Freepik remains an exceptional, highly viable tool for high-volume *image* generation and for users deeply embedded in traditional graphic design workflows that require a hybrid of generative AI, vector art, and standard stock assets.[79] However, the inflexible yearly financial lock-in, combined with the catastrophic credit penalty assigned to premium video models (1,100 credits for a single 5-second clip), makes it a highly inefficient, fundamentally flawed platform for dedicated video production. The "Relax Mode" fail-safe, while mildly useful for static images, acts as a severe, unworkable bottleneck for video creators who require rapid iteration to overcome the inherent hallucination rates of AI models [User Query].

Ultimately, the 2026 generative landscape punishes platform loyalty. The most cost-effective, professional strategy is to maintain a highly agile, decentralized workflow: utilizing cheap, open-source APIs like Flux.1 and Wan 2.6 for bulk asset generation, while maintaining a single

subscription to a highly subsidized aggregator like InVideo or Poe exclusively for surgical access to closed-ecosystem giants like Sora 2 and Veo 3.1.

Sources des citations

1. 17 Best AI Video Generation Models Pricing, Benchmarks & API Access - AI-Free-Forever, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://aifreeforever.com/blog/best-ai-video-generation-models-pricing-benchmarks-api-access
2. Kling 3.0 Review: Features, Pricing & AI Alternatives (2026) - Atlas Cloud Blog, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.atlascloud.ai/blog/ai-updates/kling-3.0-review-features-pricing-ai-alternatives
3. Top 5 AI Video Tools You Can't Miss in 2026, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://genra.ai/blog/top-5-ai-video-tools-2026
4. AI Pricing: What's the True AI Cost for Businesses in 2026? - Zylo, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://zylo.com/blog/ai-cost/
5. Poe FAQs – Help Center, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://help.poe.com/hc/en-us/articles/19944206309524-Poe-FAQs
6. Stamina vs. Credits: Understanding Resource Management. SDXL sample - SeaArt AI, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.seaart.ai/articleDetail/d1br52de878c73bbnp7g
7. Plans & Pricing - Luma AI, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://lumalabs.ai/pricing
8. Luma AI pricing explained: A complete 2025 guide - eesel AI, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.eesel.ai/blog/luma-ai-pricing
9. Comparing Midjourney Plans, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://docs.midjourney.com/hc/en-us/articles/27870484040333-Comparing-Midjourney-Plans
10. VEO 3.1: Googles latest AI video model integrated on invideo, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://invideo.io/make/googleveo/
11. InVideo AI Review 2026: Features, Pricing, Pros and Cons Tested - Cut The SaaS, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://cut-the-saas.com/ai/invideo-ai-review-2026-is-it-actually-worth-it
12. Invideo AI Review 2026: Features, Pricing & Alternatives - Max Productive AI, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://max-productive.ai/ai-tools/invideo-ai/
13. Invideo AI - Create videos without limits, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://invideo.io/
14. 7 Best AI Multi-Model Video Generations 2026: EVERY Top Model Under One Roof!, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://scribehow.com/page/7_Best_AI_Multi-Model_Video_Generations_2026_EVERY_Top_Model_Under_One_Roof__sqHzA1v6Tn2_t3labpF5Dw
15. Artlist Max plan explained, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://help.artlist.io/hc/en-us/articles/29559277294237-Artlist-Max-plan-explaine

d
16. Artlist Pricing Plans that Cover all Your Creative Needs, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://artlist.io/page/pricing/max
17. AI Tools Pricing Guide - Artlist Blog, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://artlist.io/blog/ai-tools-pricing-plans/
18. Artlist Pricing Plans Explained - Artlist Blog, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://artlist.io/blog/artlist-pricing-and-plans-explained/
19. Artlist Subscription Plans and Pricing (2025) - cchound, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.cchound.com/artlist-subscription-plans-and-pricing-2025/
20. OpenArt AI Review (2025) - AI Flow Review, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://aiflowreview.com/openart-ai-review-2025/
21. Leonardo AI vs OpenArt AI Image Video (2026) - YouTube, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7V5RNdm_1Gg
22. AI Video Generators Ranked: 7 Best Platforms for 2026 - PixTeller, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://pixteller.com/blog/ai-video-generators-ranked-7-best-platforms-for-2026-555
23. OpenArt AI Pricing 2026: Don't Subscribe Until You Read This - aiblogfirst.com, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://aiblogfirst.com/openart-ai-pricing/
24. OpenArt Pricing - AI Art Generation Subscription Packages, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://openart.ai/pricing
25. OpenArt AI Review 2026: Is This the Most Flexible Creative Sandbox? – WeShop AI Blog, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.weshop.ai/blog/openart-ai-review-2026-is-this-the-most-flexible-creative-sandbox/
26. I tried OpenArt AI tool and it was pathetic! Signed up, got 40 credits for free. Tried it for the first time. Am I missing something here? Have you tried it? How was your experience? : r/generativeAI - Reddit, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/generativeAI/comments/1ng7d42/i_tried_openart_ai_tool_and_it_was_pathetic/
27. Best Poe AI Alternatives in 2026: More Models, No Points - Global GPT, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.glbgpt.com/hub/best-poe-ai-alternatives/
28. Poe AI Statistics 2026 - Quantumrun Foresight, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.quantumrun.com/consulting/poe-ai/
29. 900k points to spend in 2 days best bots to spend it on? : r/PoeAI - Reddit, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/PoeAI/comments/1r5djlz/900k_points_to_spend_in_2_days_best_bots_to_spend/
30. Real-Video-Generator - Poe, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://poe.com/Real-Video-Generator
31. Poe Purchases FAQs – Help Center, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://help.poe.com/hc/en-us/articles/19945140063636-Poe-Purchases-FAQs
32. Introducing the Poe API, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://poe.com/blog/introducing-the-poe-api
33. All-in-one AI video creation — Artlist vs Freepik vs alternatives? :

r/SocialMediaMarketing, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/SocialMediaMarketing/comments/1plnm4l/allinone_ai_video_creation_artlist_vs_freepik_vs/
34. Does Higgsfield.ai Creator plan actually give real unlimited Kling 2.6 or is it another “fast-tier scam”? : r/HiggsfieldAI - Reddit, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/HiggsfieldAI/comments/1pzgqji/does_higgsfieldai_creator_plan_actually_give_real/
35. Higgsfield AI Pricing: How Much It Actually Cost + 20% Off Discount - ResearchHub, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.researchhub.com/post/32047/higgsfield-ai-pricing-how-much-it-actually-cost-20-off-discount
36. Higgsfield AI Review: Full Breakdown & Real Use Cases, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://deeperinsights.com/ai-review/higgsfield-ai-review-breakdown/
37. Higgsfield AI Review 2026: Pros, Cons, Pricing, & Features!, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://ucstrategies.com/news/higgsfield-ai-review-2026-pros-cons-pricing-features/
38. AI Video Generator with Flexible, Affordable Plans - Higgsfield, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://higgsfield.ai/pricing
39. I tested 7 paid AI video generators. Here are my honest thoughts on the current models., consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/SaaS/comments/1rfx4du/i_tested_7_paid_ai_video_generators_here_are_my/
40. Luma Dream Machine Pricing (2026): Plans, Limits, and the Cheapest Way to Use It, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://magichour.ai/blog/luma-dream-machine-pricing
41. Leonardo AI pricing explained: A complete 2025 breakdown - eesel AI, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.eesel.ai/blog/leonardo-ai-pricing
42. Cost of AI Image Generation Apps: A Comprehensive Guide - Leonardo.Ai, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://leonardo.ai/news/cost-of-ai-image-generation-apps/
43. Leonardo.Ai Pricing: Individual, Team & API Plans, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://leonardo.ai/pricing
44. Leonardo AI pricing 2026: Tokens, plans, and real hidden costs #Shorts - YouTube, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.youtube.com/shorts/OdsVRRLghkY
45. The Top 8 AI Image Generators in 2025 - Krea, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.krea.ai/articles/ai-image-generators-2025
46. Krea AI Review: Workflow Limits, Pricing Questions, and Alternatives - Videoinu, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://videoinu.com/hub/review/krea-ai
47. Krea Pricing | AI Creative Tools for Everyone, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.krea.ai/pricing
48. Dream Machine Plans: Pricing and Credits - Luma AI, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://lumalabs.ai/learning-hub/dream-machine-support-pricing-information
49. Best Video Generation AI Models in 2026 - Pinggy, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://pinggy.io/blog/best_video_generation_ai_models/

50. Dream Machine Guide: Payments & Subscriptions - Luma AI, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://lumalabs.ai/learning-hub/payments-subscriptions
51. 8 Best Freepik Alternatives for Creators and Marketers in 2026 - Invideo AI, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://invideo.io/blog/best-freepik-alternatives/
52. Luma Dream Machine Pricing Breakdown (2026): Cost, Tiers & Hidden Fees, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.photonpay.com/hk/blog/article/luma-dream-machine-pricing?lang=en
53. Pricing of SeaArt AI - Choose Your Perfect Plan, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.seaart.ai/mall
54. SeaArt AI review – features, pricing, and ethical concerns - Cybernews, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://cybernews.com/ai-tools/seaart-ai-review/
55. SeaArt AI Review 2026 - Features, Pricing & Alternatives | ToolJunction, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.tooljunction.io/ai-tools/seaart-ai
56. Read Customer Service Reviews of seaart.ai - Trustpilot, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.trustpilot.com/review/seaart.ai
57. I compared pricing and speed across 3 AI video generators I used : r/automation - Reddit, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/automation/comments/1rwydcj/i_compared_pricing_and_speed_across_3_ai_video/
58. Comparison table for the leading AI Video Gen Platforms. : r/runwayml - Reddit, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/runwayml/comments/1g15tb0/comparison_table_for_the_leading_ai_video_gen/
59. Ultimate Guide - The Cheapest Video & Multimodal AI Models In 2026 - SiliconFlow, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.siliconflow.com/articles/en/cheapest-video-multimodal-models
60. AI API Pricing 2026: Image & Video Generation C - TeamDay.ai, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.teamday.ai/blog/ai-api-pricing-comparison-2026
61. Best AI Video Generation Models in 2026: Pricing, Routing, and Workflow Fit - EvoLink, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://evolink.ai/blog/best-ai-video-generation-models-2026-pricing-guide
62. AI API Comparison 2026: FAL.AI vs Replicate vs - TeamDay.ai, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.teamday.ai/blog/ai-image-video-api-providers-comparison-2026
63. API Pricing & Costs - Runway API, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://docs.dev.runwayml.com/guides/pricing/
64. A deep dive into Runway Gen-4.5: New features, pricing, and limitations - eesel AI, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.eesel.ai/blog/runway-45
65. Best AI Video Model in 2026: Complete Comparison Guide (Sora 2, Veo 3.1, Runway & More), consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://blog.laozhang.ai/en/posts/best-ai-video-model
66. How Much Does an AI Video Generator Cost in 2026? (Complete Pricing Guide), consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://blog.laozhang.ai/en/posts/how-much-does-ai-video-generator-cost

67. 2025 Guide to the Most Powerful Generative Media Tools - Fal.ai, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://fal.ai/learn/devs/gen-ai-tools
68. Pricing - Replicate, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://replicate.com/pricing
69. LLM API Pricing Comparison (2025): OpenAI, Gemini, Claude | IntuitionLabs, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/llm-api-pricing-comparison-2025
70. Morocco's Ministry of Digital Transition Champions AI as Cornerstone of Industrial and Economic Transformation - Tech In Africa, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.techinafrica.com/moroccos-ministry-of-digital-transition-champions-ai-as-cornerstone-of-industrial-and-economic-transformation/
71. Moroccan Government Plans AI Roadmap to 2030, Targets $10B GDP Contribution, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.wearetech.africa/en/fils-uk/news/tech/moroccan-government-plans-ai-roadmap-to-2030-targets-10b-gdp-contribution
72. Morocco to implement mandatory e-invoicing in 2026 - EDICOM, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://edicomgroup.com/blog/morocco-electronic-invoicing
73. Morocco Accelerates AI Strategy With New Regulations, JAZARI Institutes and Sovereign Cloud Push, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.moroccoworldnews.com/2025/12/271574/morocco-accelerates-ai-strategy-with-new-regulations-jazari-institutes-and-sovereign-cloud-push/
74. How to Accept Online Payments in Morocco in 2026 – Complete Guide - AzulWeb, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://azulweb.ma/en/accept-online-payments-morocco/
75. Payment methods in Morocco - NORBr, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://norbr.com/library/payworldtour/payment-methods-in-morocco/
76. Morocco cracks down on crypto purchase; AI threatens polls - CoinGeek, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://coingeek.com/morocco-cracks-down-on-crypto-purchase-ai-threatens-polls/
77. Morocco Rolls Out Crypto Legislation Following Eight-Year Ban, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://cryptoforinnovation.org/morocco-rolls-out-crypto-legislation-following-eight-year-ban/
78. Best AI Video Generators 2026: 5 AI Video Tools Compared I Found the Best One, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=02HjTbHifEc
79. Best AI Image Generators For 2026 - Free & Paid, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.aitoolssme.com/comparison/image-generators
80. Best AI video models in 2026 | Freepik Blog, consulté le mars 22, 2026, https://www.freepik.com/blog/best-ai-video-models/